

Palm pairs and the general mass-transport principle

DANIEL GENTNER AND GÜNTER LAST, *

October 19, 2009

Abstract

We consider a lcsc group G acting on a Borel space S and on an underlying σ -finite measure space. Our first main result is a transport formula connecting the Palm pairs of jointly stationary random measures on S . A key (and new) technical result is a measurable disintegration of the Haar measure on G along the orbits. The second main result is an intrinsic characterization of the Palm pairs of a G -invariant random measure. We then proceed with deriving a general version of the mass-transport principle for possibly non-transitive and non-unimodular group operations first in a deterministic and then in its full probabilistic form.

Keywords: random measure, Palm measure, stationarity, invariance, locally compact group, operation, Haar measure, orbit, mass-transport principle

Subclass: 60D05, 60G55, 60G60

1 Introduction

Let G be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff (in short *lcsc*) topological group operating on a Borel space $(S, \mathcal{S})$ (under a rather weak technical assumption). Consider a σ -finite measure M on $S \times S$ that is invariant under joint shifts of both arguments. It is helpful to think of $M(C \times D)$ as an amount of mass transported from $C \in \mathcal{S}$ to $D \in \mathcal{S}$. Assume first that the group is unimodular and that the group action is transitive, i.e. that there is only one orbit. If $B \in \mathcal{S}$ has positive and finite invariant measure, then

$$M(B \times S) = M(S \times B). \quad (1.1)$$

This *mass-transport principle* [2, 3] plays an important role in the study of percolation on graphs. Häggström [9] was the first who has used it for this purpose. Last and Thorisson [16] noticed that (1.1) can also be seen as a special case of Neveu's classical *exchange formula* [21]. The exchange formula is a versatile tool in the theory of random measures and point processes [1]. A general *lcsc* group admits a modular function $\Delta : G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.3) below. Still assuming the group action to be transitive, (1.1) generalizes to

$$\int \tilde{\Delta}(s, t) \mathbf{1}_B(s) M(d(s, t)) = \int \mathbf{1}_B(t) M(d(s, t)). \quad (1.2)$$

*Postal address: Institut für Stochastik, Universität Karlsruhe (TH), 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany.
Email addresses: daniel.gentner@kit.edu, last@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

where $\tilde{\Delta}(s, t) := \Delta(g)$ if $gs = t$. This formula can be derived from Theorem 4.4 in [14]. One purpose of this paper is to establish the mass-transport principle (1.2) in the general, possibly non-transitive and non-unimodular case. This principle holds for all B satisfying a natural symmetry condition and with a suitably generalized definition of the function $\tilde{\Delta}(s, t)$, see Theorem 6.8. Equation (1.2) is just a special case of a more general transport formula for stationary random measures on S , see Theorems 4.1 and 6.3. The main aim of this paper is to derive these and a series of related results in our general setting described above.

In probability theory stationarity refers to invariance of the distribution under the shifts induced by G . In this paper we will express stationarity by assuming that G operates measurably on an underlying σ -finite measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\mathbb{P}$ is invariant under G . (We will use a probabilistic language even though $\mathbb{P}$ is not assumed to have the finite total mass 1.) A *stationary random measure* ξ is then just a σ -finite kernel from Ω to S which is *invariant* under joint shifts. We will use this terminology also for other kernels. Fundamental objects associated with an invariant random measure ξ are its Palm pairs (ν, Q) , where ν is a *supporting measure* of ξ (a σ -finite measure on S equivalent to $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\xi(\cdot)$) and Q is an appropriate kernel from S to Ω disintegrating the Campbell measure of ξ , see (3.19). Given ν , such a kernel exists under weak technical assumptions, see Kallenberg [12]. In the transitive situation ($Gs = S$ for all $s \in S$) the Palm kernel can be obtained from a single measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$, the *Palm measure* of ξ , by suitable shifts, see e.g. [24] (treating the case of a group acting on itself) and [22, 12, 15]. The seminal paper Mecke [19] is dealing with an Abelian group operating on itself. This case is of particular relevance for applications of Palm theory, see e.g. [5, 23]. A very general approach to Palm measures and their invariance properties is taken in Kallenberg [12]. Much of the notation and terminology of the present paper stems from this source.

The paper starts with a brief repetition of the basic terminology for invariant measures and kernels (Section 2) and for random measures and their Palm pairs (Section 3). A key technical result is a measurable and invariant disintegration of the Haar measure on G along the orbits in S , Theorem 2.1. The first main result is a *transport formula* connecting the Palm pairs of two jointly stationary random measures ξ and η on S , Theorem 4.1. This result extends Theorem 3.6 in [16] to the more general case studied in this paper. Corollary 4.2 generalizes Neveu's well-known *exchange formula* (see e.g. [21]). Our second main result is an intrinsic characterization of Palm pairs of an invariant random measure, Theorem 5.2. This extends Mecke's [19] famous characterization of Palm measures of stationary random measures on an Abelian group (cf. also [14] for the case of a general group and [22], [15] for the case of a homogeneous space). We then proceed in Section 6 with deriving a general version of the *mass-transport principle* (1.2) first in a deterministic form (Subsection 6.1) and then in its full probabilistic form (Subsection 6.2). Theorem 6.3 is the third main result of this paper. Applications of this principle in non-transitive settings (partially stationary and isotropic tessellations) will be provided in the paper [8], which is in preparation.

Finally in this introduction we state our basic notation for measures and kernels. Let $(T, \mathcal{T})$ denote a measurable space. If μ is a measure on $(T, \mathcal{T})$ and $f : T \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is measurable then we denote the integral $\int f d\mu$ by $\mu f \equiv \mu(f)$ whenever it is well-defined. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_+$ the space of $\mathcal{T}$ -measurable $[0, \infty]$ -valued functions. For $f \in \mathcal{T}_+$ we write $f \cdot \mu$ for the measure $A \mapsto \int \mathbf{1}_A(t) f(t) \mu(dt)$. If ν is another measure on T , then $\mu \sim \nu$

means that both $\mu \ll \nu$ and $\nu \ll \mu$. If $(T', \mathcal{T}')$ is another measurable space then a *kernel* κ from T to T' is a map $\kappa : T \times \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that for each $B \in \mathcal{T}'$ the map $t \mapsto \kappa(t, B)$ is measurable and that for each $t \in T$ the setfunction $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is a measure on T' . A kernel from T to T is usually referred to as a kernel *on* T . The kernel K is σ -finite if for each $t \in T$ the measure $K(t, \cdot)$ is σ -finite. In this paper all kernels are assumed to be σ -finite. Let K be a kernel from $(T, \mathcal{T})$ to another measurable space $(T', \mathcal{T}')$. If μ is a measure on $(T, \mathcal{T})$ then $\mu \otimes K$ denotes the measure on the product space $(T \times T', \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}')$ defined by $\mu \otimes K(A) = \iint \mathbf{1}_A(s, t) K(s, dt) \mu(ds)$, where $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the indicator function of $A \in \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}'$. (Note that σ -finiteness of K implies measurability of $s \mapsto \int \mathbf{1}_A(s, t) K(s, dt)$ in a similar way as in standard proofs of Fubini's theorem.)

2 Invariant measures and disintegrations

Let G be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff (in short *lcsc*) topological (multiplicative) group with unit element e . The group G is equipped with the Borel σ -field $\mathcal{G}$. Elements of G will usually be denoted by g or h . We fix a left-invariant locally finite *Haar measure* λ on G , see chapter 2 of [11] for more details and information. Left-invariance means

$$\int f(hg) \lambda(dg) = \int f(g) \lambda(dg), \quad h \in G, f \in \mathcal{G}_+.$$

The *modular function* is a continuous homomorphism $\Delta : G \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\int f(gh) \lambda(dg) = \Delta(h^{-1}) \int f(g) \lambda(dg), \quad h \in G, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_+$. This modular function has the property

$$\int f(g^{-1}) \lambda(dg) = \int \Delta(g^{-1}) f(g) \lambda(dg), \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_+. \quad (2.4)$$

The group G is called *unimodular* if $\Delta(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. By (2.3) G is unimodular if and only if λ is right-invariant.

Let $(S, \mathcal{S})$ be a Borel space, i.e. a space Borel isomorphic to a Borel subset of the interval $[0, 1]$. Elements of S will be named s or t . We assume that G operates on S , i.e. we assume that there is a mapping $(g, s) \mapsto gs$ from $G \times S$ to S having $g(hs) = (gh)s$ and $es = s$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $s \in S$. Here e denotes the neutral element of G . The projections $\pi_s : G \rightarrow S$, $s \in S$, and the translations $\theta_g : S \rightarrow S$, $g \in G$, are given by

$$\pi_s(g) = \theta_g(s) = gs, \quad g \in G, s \in S.$$

The set $\pi_s(G) = Gs$ is called the *orbit* of s . We assume that the operation of G on S is (*measurably*) *proper* in the sense that it is measurable as a map $G \times S \rightarrow S$ and that the set of all pushforwards $\mu_s := \lambda \circ \pi_s^{-1}$, $s \in S$, of the Haar measure under the projections is *uniformly* σ -finite. This means we require the existence of a measurable partition $B_1, B_2, \dots$ of S such that $\mu_s(B_n) < \infty$, $s \in S$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This concept was introduced by Kallenberg in [12] and clearly generalizes the classical notion of a *topologically proper*

operation of a lsc group on a lsc space (which is continous and where $\pi_s^{-1}(K)$ is compact for any compact $K \subset S$ and all $s \in S$). He also showed ([12], Lemma 2.1) that properness is equivalent to the existence of a measurable function $k : S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\mu_s k = \int k(t) \mu_s(dt) < \infty, \quad s \in S. \quad (2.5)$$

Denote the cosets of the stabilizers as

$$G_{s,t} := \{g \in G : gs = t\} = \pi_s^{-1}(\{t\}), \quad s, t \in S,$$

which are measurable sets in G under our assumptions on S . A measure ν on S is called *invariant* (or *G-invariant*) if

$$\nu \circ \theta_g = \nu, \quad g \in G.$$

The projection measures μ_s , $s \in S$, are clearly invariant measures on S . They have the additional property that

$$\mu_{gs} = \Delta(g^{-1})\mu_s, \quad g \in G, s \in S, \quad (2.6)$$

which means that the properness condition upon the operation enforces

$$\Delta(g) = 1, \quad g \in G_{s,s}, s \in S. \quad (2.7)$$

Hence the measures

$$\varphi_s := \frac{\mu_s}{\mu_s k}, \quad s \in S,$$

are invariant, uniformly normalized in the sense that $\varphi_s k = 1$, $s \in S$, and even constant on orbits, i.e.

$$\varphi_{gs} = \varphi_s, \quad s \in S, g \in G. \quad (2.8)$$

By Fubini's theorem φ is a kernel on S . Kallenberg proved in [12] that this kernel can be used as a normalized extremal generator of the convex cone of all σ -finite invariant measures on S since for any such measure ν on S

$$\nu(\cdot) = \int \varphi_s(\cdot) k(s) \nu(ds), \quad (2.9)$$

cf. Theorem 2.4 in [12].

In the following Theorem 2.1 we introduce a kernel κ from $S \times S$ to G that enables us to handle stabilizers and their cosets in G within integral equations. This kernel satisfies

$$\int f(gs, g) \lambda(dg) = \iint f(t, g) \kappa_{s,t}(dg) \mu_s(dt), \quad f \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{G})_+, s \in S. \quad (2.10)$$

In particular κ disintegrates the Haar measure λ on G along each orbit via

$$\int f(g) \lambda(dg) = \iint f(g) \kappa_{s,t}(dg) \mu_s(dt), \quad f \in \mathcal{G}_+, s \in S. \quad (2.11)$$

Theorem 2.1. *If G operates properly on S there is a kernel κ from $S \times S$ to G satisfying (2.10) and the following properties:*

- (i) $\kappa_{s,gt} = \kappa_{s,t} \circ \theta_g^{-1}$, $g \in G, s, t \in S$,
- (ii) $\kappa_{s,t}$ is concentrated on $G_{s,t} := \{g \in G : gs = t\}$ for $t \in Gs, s \in S$,
- (iii) $\kappa_{s,t}(G) = 1$, $t \in Gs, s \in S$.

A kernel κ with the above properties will be fixed throughout the paper. In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we will need some more terminology and tools. When G operates measurably on S and T we call a measure on a product space $S \times T$ *jointly G -invariant* if it is invariant with respect to the diagonal operation

$$\theta_g(s, t) := (gs, gt), \quad g \in G, s \in S, t \in T.$$

Further, we call a kernel κ from S to T *measurably σ -finite* if for each $s \in S$ there is a measurable partition $B_1^s, B_2^s, \dots$ of T such that $(s, t) \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{B_i^s}(t)$ is measurable for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa(s, B_i^s) < \infty, s \in S$. It is easy to prove that a kernel from S to T is measurably σ -finite if and only if there exists a measurable function $f > 0$ on $S \times T$ such that $\kappa_s f_s < \infty, s \in S$, where $f_s := f(s, \cdot)$.

Our aim is to disintegrate measurably labeled families of jointly G -invariant measures $\{M_r\}_{r \in R}$ on a product space in an invariant and measurable way. For this we need the following lemma which is a crucial extension of well known results on the existence of disintegrations of measures on product spaces (see e.g. [11] Theorem 6.3) and their respective G -invariant versions for jointly G -invariant measures found by Kallenberg in [12]. Its proof is a straightforward adaption of arguments found in [11] page 107 and [12] Theorem 3.5.

Lemma 2.2. *Let R, S, T be measurable spaces where S and T are Borel, M be a measurably σ -finite kernel from R to $S \times T$ and let G operate measurably on both S and T .*

- (i) *There is a stochastic kernel ν from R to S and a measurably σ -finite kernel κ from $R \times S$ to T such that*

$$M_r = \nu_r \otimes \kappa_r, \quad r \in R.$$

- (ii) *If ν' is a measurably σ -finite kernel from R to S with $M_r(\cdot \times T) \ll \nu'_r, r \in R$, there is a kernel κ' from $R \times S$ to T such that*

$$M_r = \nu'_r \otimes \kappa'_r, \quad r \in R.$$

- (iii) *If M is such that M_r is jointly G -invariant for each $r \in R$ and ν is a measurably σ -finite kernel from R to S such that ν_r is a G -invariant measure on S with $M_r(\cdot \times T) \ll \nu_r$ for $r \in R$ then there is a kernel κ from $R \times S$ to T with the invariance property*

$$\kappa_r(gs, A) = \kappa_r(s, \theta_g^{-1}A), \quad A \in \mathcal{T}, s \in S, g \in G, r \in R,$$

such that

$$M_r = \nu_r \otimes \kappa_r, \quad r \in R.$$

Proof. (i) We may assume that $M_r(S \times T) > 0, r \in R$. Since M is measurably σ -finite we can choose a measurable function $f > 0$ on $R \times S \times T$ such that $M_r f_r = 1, r \in R$, and define the stochastic kernel P from R to $S \times T$ as $P_r := f_r \cdot M_r, r \in R$. Then Proposition 7.26 in [11] yields a stochastic kernel $\tilde{\kappa}$ from $R \times S$ to T such that together with the stochastic kernel $\nu_r := P_r(\cdot \times T)$

$$P_r = \nu_r \otimes \tilde{\kappa}_r, \quad r \in R,$$

c.f. Dellacherie/Meyer [6] V.58. This is clearly equivalent to

$$M_r = \nu_r \otimes \kappa_r, \quad r \in R,$$

where $\kappa(r, s, A) := \int \mathbf{1}_A(t) / f(r, s, t) \tilde{\kappa}(r, s, dt), A \in \mathcal{T}$, and thus proves the first assertion.

(ii) If ν' is a given kernel from R to S with the property $M_r(\cdot \times T) \ll \nu'_r, r \in R$, then $\nu_r \sim M_r(\cdot \times T) \ll \nu'_r, r \in R$, and by Dellacherie/Meyer V.58 we may choose a measurable function $f : R \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

$$f(r, s) = \frac{d\nu_r}{d\nu'_r}(s), \quad \nu'_r\text{-a.e. } s \in S.$$

Then

$$M_r = \nu'_r \otimes \kappa'_r, \quad r \in R,$$

where $\kappa'(r, s, \cdot) := f(r, s) \kappa(r, s, \cdot)$, which proves the second statement.

(iii) From (ii) we get a kernel κ from $R \times S$ to T with $M_r = \nu_r \otimes \kappa_r, r \in R$. Invariance of M_r and ν_r imply for any $f \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T})_+$ that

$$\iint f(s, t) \kappa_{r,gs}(dt) \nu_r(ds) = \iint f(s, t) \kappa_{r,s} \circ \theta_g^{-1}(dt) \nu_r(ds), \quad g \in G, r \in R.$$

Since T is Borel this gives

$$\kappa_{r,gs} = \kappa_{r,s} \circ \theta_g^{-1}, \quad \nu_r\text{-a.e. } s \in S, g \in G, r \in R.$$

Fix some right Haar measure $\tilde{\lambda}$ on G . Fubini's theorem yields in particular

$$\kappa_{r,gs} = \kappa_{r,s} \circ \theta_g^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}\text{-a.e. } g \in G, \nu_r\text{-a.e. } s \in S, r \in R. \quad (2.12)$$

Let $l \geq 0$ be some measurable function on G with $\tilde{\lambda}l = 1$ and set

$$\bar{\kappa}_{r,s} := \int (\kappa_{r,hs} \circ \theta_h)(l \cdot \tilde{\lambda})(dh).$$

A similar calculation as in [12] Theorem 3.5 shows that on the sets

$$A_r := \{s \in S : \kappa_{r,ps} \circ \theta_p = \kappa_{r,qs} \circ \theta_q, \tilde{\lambda}^2\text{-a.e. } (p, q) \in G^2\}, \quad r \in R,$$

we have

$$\bar{\kappa}_{r,s} = \bar{\kappa}_{r,hs} \circ \theta_h, \quad h \in G, s \in A_r, r \in R. \quad (2.13)$$

One now easily verifies by arguments relying on Fubini's theorem and a countable generator of $\mathcal{S}$ that $(r, s) \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{A_r}(s)$ is measurable. Further one can check that A_r is G -invariant and (2.12) implies that $\nu_r(A_r^c) = 0$. Finally define

$$\overline{\kappa}'_{r,s} := \mathbf{1}_{A_r}(s) \overline{\kappa}_{r,s}, \quad s \in S, r \in R.$$

Then by invariance of A_r and (2.13)

$$\overline{\kappa}'_{r,gs}(A) = \overline{\kappa}'_{r,s}(\theta_g^{-1}A), \quad g \in G, s \in S, A \in \mathcal{T}, r \in R,$$

and since $\overline{\kappa}'_{r,s} = \overline{\kappa}_{r,s} = \kappa_{r,s}$, ν_r -a.e. $s \in S$, the required disintegrations

$$M_r = \nu_r \otimes \overline{\kappa}'_r, \quad r \in R,$$

hold indeed. □

We are now ready to proof Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Consider the kernel

$$M_s := \int \mathbf{1}\{(gs, g) \in \cdot\} \lambda(dg), \quad s \in S,$$

from S to $S \times G$ which is clearly measurably σ -finite by properness and has the property that every M_s is a jointly G -invariant measure on $S \times G$. Further it is clear that $\mu_s := \lambda \circ \pi_s^{-1} = M_s(\cdot \times G)$ and since the μ_s are σ -finite G -invariant measures we may apply Lemma 2.2 with $R := S, T := G$ and $\nu_s := \mu_s$ to the kernel M to obtain a kernel κ from $S \times S$ to G such that (2.10) and the invariance property (i) are fulfilled. It remains to show that κ fulfills (ii),(iii): For (ii) note that for $s \in S$ by (2.10)

$$\iint \mathbf{1}\{gs \neq t\} \kappa_{s,t}(dg) \mu_s(dt) = \int \mathbf{1}\{gs \neq gs\} \lambda(dg) = 0.$$

This means that

$$\kappa_{s,t}(G_{s,t}^c) = 0, \quad \mu_s\text{-a.e. } t \in S, s \in S,$$

and since $\mu_s \neq 0$ for each $s \in S$ we may pick some $t \in Gs$ such that $\kappa_{s,t}(G_{s,t}^c) = 0$ holds. But then by (i) $\kappa_{s,t}(G_{s,t}^c) = 0$ for all $t \in Gs$. For (iii) choose k as in (2.5) and note that setting $f(t, g) := k(t)$ in (2.10) yields

$$\mu_s k = \int k(t) \kappa_{s,t}(G) \mu_s(dt) = \kappa_{s,s}(G) \mu_s k, \quad s \in S, \quad (2.14)$$

where we applied (i) in the last step and which implies $\kappa_{s,s}(G) = 1 = \kappa_{s,t}(G)$ for $t \in Gs$ again by (i). □

Example 2.3. Assume that G operates transitively on S , i.e. that there is only one orbit. Fix some $c \in S$. By (2.6) the measures μ_s , $s \in S$, are all multiples of μ_c . By Corollary 2.6 in [12] μ_c is up to normalization the unique invariant σ -finite measure on S . The kernel κ can be constructed by a suitable translation of the probability measure $\kappa_c := \kappa_{c,c}$. Indeed, let $s \in S$ and take some $g_s \in G_{c,s}$, i.e. $g_sc = s$. Then $G_{s,s} = g_s G_{c,c} g_s^{-1}$ and it is easy to see that $\int \mathbf{1}\{g_s g g_s^{-1} \in \cdot\} \kappa_c(dg)$ is a left-invariant measure on $G_{s,s}$. If $G_{s,s}$ is compact, then this measure must coincide with $\kappa_{s,s}$, see also Corollary 2.5. Now take $t \in S$ and some $g \in G_{s,t}$. By Theorem 2.1 (ii) we then have $\kappa_{s,t} = \kappa_{s,s} \circ \theta_g^{-1}$.

Example 2.4. We may further specialize Example 2.3 by assuming that $S = G$ and that $(g, s) \mapsto gs$ is just the multiplication in the group. Then $\mu_s = \Delta(s^{-1})\lambda$ for all $s \in S$. For $s, t \in G$ we have $G_{s,t} = \{ts^{-1}\}$, while $\kappa_{s,t}$ is the Dirac measure located at ts^{-1} .

In applications, if some given operation is proper, it is usually not hard to determine a suitable partition or simultaneously μ_s -integrable function $k > 0$ on S and hence to actually prove properness. Conversely if this fails it can be hard to prove that a given operation is not proper. The kernel κ now gives a tool that enables us to reject properness in certain cases. Say that a subset $L \subset G$ is *locally closed* if it is the intersection of an open and a closed set. It is well known that such sets inherit local-compactness from G , see also [4] I.65.

Corollary 2.5. *Let G operate properly on the Borel space S such that $G_{s,s}$ is locally closed in G for all $s \in S$. Then $G_{s,s}$ is compact in G for all $s \in S$.*

Proof. The assumption that $G_{s,s}$ is locally closed implies that $G_{s,s}$ is a locally compact subgroup of G and for each s we may choose some left Haar measure λ_s on $G_{s,s}$. Consider the kernel κ from Theorem 2.1. $\kappa_{s,s}$ is concentrated on $G_{s,s}$ and for any $g \in G_{s,s}$ we have by invariance

$$\kappa_{s,s} \circ \theta_g^{-1} = \kappa_{s,gs} = \kappa_{s,s}.$$

The uniqueness theorem in [12] (Corollary 2.6) now implies $\lambda_s = c \cdot \kappa_{s,s}$, hence λ_s is finite which implies compactness of $G_{s,s}$ (see [7], Proposition 11.4 d). $\square$

Example 2.6. Trivially the compact group $SO(d)$ operates properly on $\mathbb{R}^d$ or on the affine Grassmanian $A(k, d)$ of k -dimensional affine subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ (in fact on any space), and one readily proves that any $\mathbb{R}^u$ operates properly on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $1 \leq u \leq d$ via translation. On the other hand by means of Corollary 2.5 it is straightforward to see that $\mathbb{R}^d$ does not operate properly on the Grassmanian $A(k, d)$ via translation.

Choosing a system O of representatives of the orbits Gs , $s \in S$, the space S splits into the disjoint union

$$S = \bigcup_{b \in O} Gb,$$

and we may consider the choice function $\beta : S \rightarrow S$ defined by $\beta(s) := b_s$, b_s denoting the previously chosen representative of Gs . A fixed choice of a system of representatives allows for the following canonical transfer of the modular function from G to S

$$\Delta^*(s) := \Delta(g_s^{-1}), \quad s \in S, \tag{2.15}$$

where $g_s \in G_{\beta(s),s}$. This definition is independent of the choice of g_s . Indeed, if $g, h \in G_{\beta(s),s}$ then $g^{-1}h \in G_{\beta(s),\beta(s)}$ so that (2.7) implies $1 = \Delta(g^{-1}h)$, i.e. $\Delta(g^{-1}) = \Delta(h^{-1})$.

As seen in Corollary 2.5 properness imposes restrictions upon the size of the stabilizers. This affects the relative size of the orbits. Most accessible is the case of countable S which is of independent interest for applications (e.g. for percolation on countable graphs, see [2],[17]). The cardinality of a set A is denoted by $|A|$.

Lemma 2.7. *G operates properly on a countable set S if and only if*

$$0 < \lambda(G_{s,s}) < \infty, \quad s \in S.$$

In this case

$$\Delta^*(s) = \frac{\lambda(G_{s,s})}{\lambda(G_{\beta(s),\beta(s)})} = \frac{|G_{s,s}\beta(s)|}{|G_{\beta(s),\beta(s)}s|}, \quad s \in S, \quad (2.16)$$

and either all orbits are infinite or all orbits are finite.

Proof. Countability implies $0 < \lambda(G_{s,s})$, $s \in S$, since $\lambda(G_{s,s}) = 0$ for some s implies $\lambda(G) = \sum_{t \in Gs} \lambda(G_{s,t}) = 0$ by left-invariance of λ - an impossibility. For any k on S we have

$$\mu_s k = \int k(gs) \sum_{t \in Gs} \mathbf{1}\{gs = t\} \lambda(dg) = \lambda(G_{s,s}) \sum_{t \in Gs} k(t), \quad s \in S. \quad (2.17)$$

Hence if the operation is proper then $\lambda(G_{s,s}) < \infty$ for any s . This equation also shows the converse since we may always choose $k > 0$ on S such that $\sum_{t \in Gs} k(t) < \infty$, $s \in S$. Now choose $k > 0$ on S such that $\sum_{s \in Gb} k(s) < \infty$, $b \in O$, and even $\sum_{s \in Gb} k(s) = \sum_{s \in Gb'} k(s)$, $b, b' \in O$. Then

$$\Delta^*(s) = \frac{\mu_s k}{\mu_{\beta(s)} k} = \frac{\lambda(G_{s,s}) \sum_{t \in Gs} k(t)}{\lambda(G_{\beta(s),\beta(s)}) \sum_{t \in G\beta(s)} k(t)} = \frac{\lambda(G_{s,s})}{\lambda(G_{\beta(s),\beta(s)})}, \quad s \in S.$$

By left-invariance of Haar measure we have

$$\frac{\lambda(G_{s,s})}{\lambda(G_{s,s} \cap G_{t,t})} = [G_{s,s} : G_{s,s} \cap G_{t,t}] = |G_{s,s}t|, \quad s, t \in S,$$

where $[G : H]$ denotes the left index of a subgroup $H \subset G$, i.e. the number of the left cosets of H . This yields the second identity in (2.16). For any orbit Gs we have $\lambda(G) = |Gs| \lambda(G_{s,s})$ and hence if $|Gt| = \infty$ for some $t \in S$, then necessarily $\lambda(G) = \infty$ and thus for any other orbit Gs also $|Gs| = \infty$ by properness. $\square$

Remark 2.8. *Our hybrid setting of a lcsc group acting properly in a measurable sense is taken from Kallenberg [12]. It is more general than the usual assumption of a topologically proper group operation and a first important step towards completely abandoning topological assumptions both on S and G . However, we have no substantial example falling in the more general but not in the more specific category.*

If S is not countable we need to establish measurability of β and Δ^* . For this recall the concept of universal measurability. If μ is a measure on $(S, \mathcal{S})$ then $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ denotes the completion of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to μ . The *universal completion* of a σ -algebra $\mathcal{S}$ is then defined as

$$\mathcal{S}^u = \bigcap_{\mu} \mathcal{S}^\mu$$

where the intersection is taken over the class of all finite measures (or simply over the class of probability measures) on $(S, \mathcal{S})$. Its elements are called *universally measurable* sets and a map $f : S \rightarrow T$ is called *universally measurable* if it is $\mathcal{S}^u/\mathcal{T}$ -measurable.

Lemma 2.9. *The following holds:*

- (i) *The orbits Gs , $s \in S$, are universally measurable sets in S ;*
- (ii) *If $O \in \mathcal{S}$ then β is universally measurable;*
- (iii) *If $O \in \mathcal{S}$ then for any $B \in \mathcal{G}$ the map $s \mapsto \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(B)$ is universally measurable;*
- (iv) *If $O \in \mathcal{S}$ then Δ^* is universally measurable.*

Proof. Consider the Borel isomorphism $\psi : G \times S \rightarrow G \times S$ given by $\psi(g, s) = (g, gs)$ and the measurable sets $A_s := \psi(G \times \{s\})$, $s \in S$. Since G is Borel the projection of A_s on S is a universally measurable set in S according to Dellacherie and Meyer [6] Section III.44. These projections are clearly the orbits of the operation, hence (i) follows.

For (ii) note that for $B \subset S$ we have $\beta^{-1}(B) = G(B \cap O)$. Hence $\beta^{-1}(B) = G(B \cap O) = \text{pr}_S(\varphi(G \times (B \cap O)))$ and for $B, O \in \mathcal{S}$ this implies that $\beta^{-1}(B)$ is universally measurable since G is Borel.

Assertion (iii) holds since the map $(s, t) \mapsto \kappa_{s,t}(B)$ is measurable according to Theorem 2.1 while $s \mapsto (\beta(s), s)$ is universally measurable by (ii) and elementary properties of the product σ -algebra.

The universal measurability of Δ^* and hence (iv) follows from the representation

$$\Delta^*(s) = \int \Delta(g^{-1}) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg), \quad s \in S. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.10. The concept of universal measurability is useful when dealing with finite or at least σ -finite measures μ on a measurable space $(S, \mathcal{S})$ since for a universally measurable map f integrals μf with respect to any such μ make sense. Any σ -finite μ has a unique extension to the class of $\mathcal{S}^\mu$ -measurable functions and in particular to the (smaller) class of $\mathcal{S}^u$ -measurable functions. We make heavy use of this fact for almost all results in this paper without further notice.

Throughout this paper we fix one system O of representatives of the orbits and require $O \in \mathcal{S}$ such that β and Δ^* are universally measurable by Lemma 2.9. By means of (2.8) and β equation (2.9) can be modified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\cdot) &= \int \varphi_s(\cdot) k(s) \nu(ds) = \int \mu_{\beta(s)}(\cdot) \mu_{\beta(s)}(k)^{-1} k(s) \nu(ds) \\ &= \int \mu_b(\cdot) \nu^*(db), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where $\nu^* = (\mu_\beta(k)^{-1} k \cdot \nu) \circ \beta^{-1}$ is a measure concentrated on O , in the sense that any measurable $B \subset S$ being disjoint with O has $\nu^*(B) = 0$.

3 Random measures and Palm pairs

As before let $(S, \mathcal{S})$ be a Borel space. Let $\mathbf{M}(S)$ denote the space of all σ -finite measures on S . We equip $\mathbf{M}(S)$ with the smallest σ -field $\mathcal{M}(S)$ making the mappings $\mu \mapsto \mu(B)$ for

all $A \in \mathcal{S}$ measurable. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a σ -finite measure space. We use a probabilistic language even though $\mathbb{P}$ need not be a probability measure. A *random measure* on S is a measurable mapping $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{M}(S)$ that is uniformly σ -finite in the sense that there is a partition $B_1, B_2, \dots$ of S such that $\xi(B_i) < \infty$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We use the kernel notation $\xi(\omega, B) := \xi(\omega)(B)$. If ξ is a random measure on S then the *Campbell measure* C_ξ of ξ (with respect to $\mathbb{P}$) is the measure on $\Omega \times S$ satisfying

$$C_\xi f = \iint f(\omega, s) \xi(\omega, ds) \mathbb{P}(d\omega), \quad f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+.$$

This measure is finite on sets of the form $\{\omega \in A : \xi(\omega, B_i) \leq n\} \times B_i$, where $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ has $\mathbb{P}(A) < \infty$ and the B_i are as in the definition of ξ . It follows that C_ξ is σ -finite. Hence there exists a *supporting measure* of ξ , i.e. a σ -finite measure ν on S such that $C_\xi(\Omega \times \cdot)$ and ν are equivalent in the sense of mutual absolute continuity. If $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ is Borel, then there is a σ -finite kernel Q from S to Ω disintegrating C_ξ as follows:

$$C_\xi f = \iint f(\omega, s) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds), \quad f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+. \quad (3.19)$$

We call a pair (ν, Q) satisfying (3.19) a *Palm pair* of ξ . The kernel Q is the ν -associated *Palm kernel* of ξ . To make the dependence on ξ (but not on $\mathbb{P}$) explicit, we often write $(\nu_\xi, Q_\xi) := (\nu, Q)$.

We now return to the setting established in Section 2. In addition we assume that G is operating measurably on Ω . There is no risk of confusion to denote the associated translations by $\theta_g : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $g \in G$. The set $\{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}$ is commonly referred to as a *flow* on Ω . Our basic assumption is that $\mathbb{P}$ is invariant under the flow, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P} \circ \theta_g^{-1} = \mathbb{P}, \quad g \in G. \quad (3.20)$$

A random measure ξ on S is called *invariant* (or *G-invariant*) if it satisfies

$$\xi(\theta_g \omega, B) = \xi(\omega, g^{-1}B), \quad g \in G, \omega \in \Omega, B \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (3.21)$$

Similarly a kernel Q from S to Ω is called *invariant* if

$$Q(gs, A) = Q(s, \theta_g^{-1}A), \quad g \in G, s \in S, A \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.22)$$

Assume that ξ is an invariant random measure. Then it is easy to see that the Campbell measure of ξ is jointly invariant. If $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ is Borel, Corollary 3.5 in [12] implies that there is an *invariant Palm pair* (ν, Q) of ξ , meaning that both ν and Q are invariant. Next we formulate the *refined Campbell theorem*. Although a simple consequence of the definitions, it is the main tool of Palm calculus for invariant random measures. Recall the representation (2.18) and that θ_e is the identity on Ω . Adapting common terminology of probability theory, we denote integration with respect to a measure Q' on Ω by $\mathbb{E}_{Q'}$ and the θ_g 's may be interpreted as random elements of Ω in the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the invariant random measure ξ has an invariant Palm pair (ν, Q) . Then, for all $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g, \beta(t)) \kappa_{\beta(t), t}(dg) \xi(dt) = \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \int f(\theta_e, g, b) \lambda(dg) \nu^*(db). \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. Let $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ and denote the right-hand side of (3.23) by I . By (2.11) and Fubini's theorem,

$$I = \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \int f(\theta_e, g, b) \kappa_{b,t}(dg) \mu_b(dt) \nu^*(db).$$

By Theorem 2.1 (ii), $\kappa_{b,t}$ is concentrated on $G_{b,t}$. Hence we obtain by invariance (3.22) of the Palm kernel that

$$I = \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_t} \int f(\theta_g^{-1}, g, b) \kappa_{b,t}(dg) \mu_b(dt) \nu^*(db).$$

For $b \in O = \beta(S)$ and $t \in Gb$ we have $b = \beta(t)$. Since ν^* is concentrated on O we obtain

$$I = \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_t} \int f(\theta_g^{-1}, g, \beta(t)) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dg) \mu_b(dt) \nu^*(db).$$

An application of (2.18) yields

$$I = \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_t} \int f(\theta_g^{-1}, g, \beta(t)) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dg) \nu(dt).$$

The defining property (3.19) of a Palm pair yields the assertion (3.23). $\square$

Remark 3.2. Assume that $G = \{e\}$. Then $\lambda = \delta_e$, $O = S$, $\mu_s = \delta_s$ and $\kappa_{s,t} = \mathbf{1}\{s = t\}\delta_e$. Let ξ be a random measure on S with supporting measure ν . Then ξ is invariant. Let (ν, Q) be a Palm pair of ξ . Then (ν, Q) is invariant, $\nu^* = \nu$, and the refined Campbell theorem (3.23) boils down to the defining equation (3.19) of a Palm pair. Hence general random measures can be treated within our framework of invariant random measures.

Example 3.3. Consider the situation of Example 2.3, i.e. assume that G operates transitively on S . Let ξ be an invariant random measure on S . Fixing some $c \in S$, we can take $\nu := \mu_c$ as a supporting measure of ξ . Moreover, taking $\beta \equiv c$, we clearly have $\nu^* = \delta_c$. Then (3.23) simplifies to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g) \kappa_{c,t}(dg) \xi(dt) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_c} \int f(\theta_e, g) \lambda(dg), \quad f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G})_+. \quad (3.24)$$

In particular,

$$Q_c(A) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iint \mathbf{1}_A(\theta_g^{-1}) w(t) \kappa_{c,t}(dg) \xi(dt), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (3.25)$$

where $w \in \mathcal{S}_+$ has $\int w d\mu_c = 1$. In fact one can use (3.25) to give an explicit definition of Q_c (without any Borel assumption on Ω) and then derive (3.24). This is the approach taken in [22] and [15]. In the further special case $S = G$ of Example 2.4 we may take $c = e$ and (3.24) simplifies to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \int f(\theta_g^{-1}, g) \xi(dg) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_e} \int f(\theta_e, g) \lambda(dg), \quad f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G})_+. \quad (3.26)$$

Equation (3.25) changes accordingly. This *skew factorization* is the standard approach to Palm measures of stationary random measures on a group. We refer to [12] for more details and historical remarks.

Example 3.4. Assume that O is finite, i.e. that there are only finitely many orbits. Following [2] we call this the *quasi-transitive* case. Let ξ be an invariant random measure on S . Then without loss of generality a supporting measure may be chosen as $\nu := \sum_{b \in O'} \mu_b$ for some $O' \subset O$. It is easy to check that $\nu^* = \sum_{b \in O'} \delta_b$. Then if Q is an associated invariant Palm kernel of ξ the refined Campbell theorem (3.23) now implies for all $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G})_+$ that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g) \kappa_{b,t}(dg) \xi_b(dt) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \int f(\theta_e, g) \lambda(dg), \quad b \in O', \quad (3.27)$$

where ξ_b is the restriction of ξ to the orbit Gb . Let $b \in O'$ and $w_b \in \mathcal{S}_+$ with $\int w_b d\mu_b = 1$. Then (3.27) implies

$$Q_b(A) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iint \mathbf{1}_A(\theta_g^{-1}) w_b(t) \kappa_{b,t}(dg) \xi_b(dt), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.28)$$

This can be used for defining the Palm kernel Q explicitly, just as in the transitive case of Example 3.3.

4 The transport formula

In the remainder of the paper we assume that the lsc group G operates measurably on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ and properly on the Borel space $(S, \mathcal{S})$. In this section we fix an invariant σ -finite measure $\mathbb{P}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$. Our aim is to derive a fundamental transport property of Palm measures. In the special case where $G = S$ is an Abelian group the result boils down to Theorem 3.6 in [16]. Other special cases will be discussed below. We use the function Δ^* defined by (2.15). A kernel γ from $\Omega \times S$ to S is called *invariant* if

$$\gamma(\theta_g \omega, gs, B) = \gamma(\omega, s, g^{-1}B), \quad g \in G, s \in S, \omega \in \Omega, B \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (4.29)$$

Theorem 4.1. *Consider two invariant random measures ξ and η on S and let γ and δ be invariant kernels from $\Omega \times S$ to S satisfying*

$$\iint \mathbf{1}\{(s, t) \in \cdot\} \gamma(\omega, s, dt) \xi(\omega, ds) = \iint \mathbf{1}\{(s, t) \in \cdot\} \delta(\omega, t, ds) \eta(\omega, dt) \quad (4.30)$$

for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$ and (ν_ξ, Q_ξ) , (ν_η, Q_η) be invariant Palm pairs of ξ and η respectively. Then we have for any measurable function $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta,b}} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}, b, \beta(s)) \Delta^*(s) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \delta(b, ds) \nu_\eta^*(db) \\ &= \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi,b}} \iint f(\theta_e, g, \beta(s), b) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \gamma(b, ds) \nu_\xi^*(db). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Proof. Let $k > 0$ be as in (2.5). Then for any $b \in O = \beta(S)$ and $g \in G$

$$l(b) \int k(g^{-1}hb) \lambda(dh) = 1,$$

where $l(b) := \mu_b(k)^{-1}$. Take $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ and denote the right-hand side of (4.31) by I . By Fubini's theorem,

$$I = \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi,b}} \iiint f(\theta_e, g, \beta(s), b) l(b) k(g^{-1}hb) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \gamma(b, ds) \lambda(dh) \nu_{\xi}^*(db).$$

Applying the refined Campbell theorem (3.23) gives that I equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iiint f(\theta_h^{-1}, g, \beta(s), \beta(t)) l(\beta(t)) k(g^{-1}h\beta(t)) \\ & \quad \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \gamma(\theta_h^{-1}, \beta(t), ds) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dh) \xi(dt) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iiint f(\theta_h^{-1}, g, \beta(s), \beta(t)) l(\beta(t)) k(g^{-1}t) \\ & \quad \kappa_{\beta(s),h^{-1}s}(dg) \gamma(h\beta(t), ds) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dh) \xi(dt) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iiint f(\theta_h^{-1}, g, \beta(s), \beta(t)) l(\beta(t)) k(g^{-1}t) \\ & \quad \kappa_{\beta(s),h^{-1}s}(dg) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dh) \gamma(t, ds) \xi(dt), \end{aligned}$$

where we have the invariance property (4.29) of γ , invariance of β and the fact that $\kappa_{\beta(t),t}$ is concentrated on $G_{\beta(t),t}$ (see Theorem 2.1 (ii)). By Theorem 2.1 (i) and (4.30)

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iiint f(\theta_h^{-1}, h^{-1}g, \beta(s), \beta(t)) l(\beta(t)) k(g^{-1}ht) \\ & \quad \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dh) \delta(s, dt) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \eta(ds). \end{aligned}$$

Using the invariance properties of the kernels δ and κ , we obtain that I equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iiint f(\theta_h^{-1} \circ \theta_g^{-1}, h^{-1}, \beta(s), \beta(t)) l(\beta(t)) \\ & \quad k(hgt) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dh) \delta(\theta_g^{-1}, \beta(s), dt) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \eta(ds), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\theta_{gh}^{-1} = \theta_h^{-1} \circ \theta_g^{-1}$ and that $g^{-1}s = \beta(s)$ for s, g as in the above integral. At this stage we can use the refined Campbell theorem (3.23) for η to obtain that I equals

$$\int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta,b}} \iiint f(\theta_h^{-1}, h^{-1}, b, \beta(t)) l(\beta(t)) k(hgt) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dh) \delta(b, dt) \lambda(dg) \nu_{\eta}^*(db).$$

Now take $h \in G$ and $t \in S$ with $h\beta(t) = t$. Then

$$\int k(hgt) \lambda(dg) = \int k(gh\beta(t)) \lambda(dg) = \Delta(h^{-1}) l(\beta(t))^{-1}.$$

Hence we obtain from Fubini's theorem that I equals the left-hand side of (4.31). $\square$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 is the following *exchange formula* for Palm pairs. A first version of this fundamental and very useful formula was obtained by Neveu (see e.g. [21]).

Corollary 4.2. *Let ξ and η be invariant random measures on S . Assume that ξ and η admit invariant Palm pairs (ν_ξ, Q_ξ) and (ν_η, Q_η) , respectively. Then for any $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta,b}} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}, b, \beta(s)) \Delta^*(s) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \xi(ds) \nu_\eta^*(db) \\ &= \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi,b}} \iint f(\theta_e, g, \beta(s), b) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \eta(ds) \nu_\xi^*(db). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Before discussing some examples we mention one consequence of Corollary 4.2 arising for a special choice of f .

Corollary 4.3. *Under the hypothesis of Corollary 4.2 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta,b}} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}b, \beta(s)) \Delta^*(s) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \xi(ds) \nu_\eta^*(db) \\ &= \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi,b}} \int f(\theta_e, s, b) \eta(ds) \nu_\xi^*(db), \quad f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Proof. Take $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ and apply Corollary 4.2 with the function $\tilde{f}(\omega, g, s, t) := f(\omega, gs, t)$. $\square$

Example 4.4. Assume that $S = G$ as in Example 2.4 and let ξ and η be invariant random measures on G . Then (4.32) means for $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G})_+$

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q_\eta} \int f(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}) \Delta(g^{-1}) \xi(dg) = \mathbb{E}_{Q_\xi} \int f(\theta_e, g) \eta(dg), \quad (4.34)$$

where $Q_\xi := Q_{\xi,e}$, $Q_\eta := Q_{\eta,e}$, cf. Example 3.3. For an Abelian group this is Neveu's exchange formula, see [21].

Example 4.5. Consider the quasi-transitive case of Example 3.4 and let $\xi, \eta, \gamma, \delta$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Then (4.31) easily implies for all $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G})_+$ and all $b, b' \in O$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_\eta^*\{b\} \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta,b}} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}) \Delta^*(s) \kappa_{b',s}(dg) \mathbf{1}\{s \in Gb'\} \delta(b, ds) \\ &= \nu_\xi^*\{b'\} \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi,b'}} \iint f(\theta_e, g) \kappa_{b,s}(dg) \mathbf{1}\{s \in Gb\} \gamma(b', ds). \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

The transitive special case of this result can be found in [15]. In case $G = S$ is an Abelian group we recover Theorem 3.6 in [16] (see also [14] for the non Abelian case).

5 Characterization of Palm pairs

We consider a kernel ξ from Ω to S that is uniformly σ -finite in the sense, that there is a partition $B_1, B_2, \dots$ of S such that $\xi(B_i) < \infty$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In contrast to the previous section we do not fix the underlying measure $\mathbb{P}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$. Instead we fix a σ -finite measure ν on S and a σ -finite kernel Q from S to Ω and ask for conditions that are necessary and sufficient for (ν, Q) to be a Palm pair of ξ with respect to some σ -finite measure $\mathbb{P}$. A first result in this direction can be formulated without any invariance assumptions which can be seen as a special case (see Remark 3.2) of some independent interest.

Proposition 5.1. *The pair (ν, Q) is a Palm pair of ξ with respect to some σ -finite measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ iff $\nu \otimes Q$ is σ -finite, $Q_s(\xi = 0) = 0$ for ν -a.e. $s \in S$ and*

$$\iiint f(\omega, s, t) \xi(\omega, dt) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds) = \iiint f(\omega, t, s) \xi(\omega, dt) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds) \quad (5.36)$$

for any $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$. If (ν, Q) and ξ are invariant the same characterisation holds and in addition the underlying measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ may be chosen to be invariant.

Proof. First, assume that (ν, Q) is a Palm pair of ξ with respect to some σ -finite measure $\mathbb{P}$ on Ω . This means

$$\nu \otimes Q = C_\xi \quad (5.37)$$

where C_ξ is the Campbell measure of ξ w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}$. As we have seen earlier, C_ξ is σ -finite. Further

$$\int Q_s(\xi = 0) \nu(ds) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \int \mathbf{1}\{\xi = 0\} \xi(ds) = 0,$$

which implies $Q_s(\xi = 0) = 0$ for ν -a.e. $s \in S$. To show (5.36) we take $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ and obtain from (5.37) and Fubini's theorem that the left hand-side of (5.36) equals

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iint f(\theta_e, s, t) \xi(dt) \xi(ds) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \iint f(\theta_e, s, t) \xi(ds) \xi(dt).$$

Applying (5.37) again, we see that the latter expression coincides with the right-hand side of (5.36).

We now prove the converse implication. By σ -finiteness we may choose a measurable function $g' > 0$ on $\Omega \times S$ such that $(\nu \otimes Q)g' < \infty$. Since ξ is uniformly σ -finite, we may choose $\tilde{g} > 0$ on $\Omega \times S$ with $0 < \xi(\tilde{g}) < \infty$ on $\{\xi \neq 0\}$. Now set $g := g' \wedge \tilde{g}$ and $h(\omega, s) := g(\omega, s) / (\xi g)(\omega)$, where $h(\omega, s) := 0$ if $\xi(g) = 0$. Define the measure $\mathbb{P}$ by

$$\mathbb{P}(A) := \iint \mathbf{1}_A(\omega) h(\omega, s) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (5.38)$$

By assumption on Q we have $\mathbb{P}(\xi = 0) = 0$. The function $\xi(g)$ is finite and positive on $\{\xi \neq 0\}$. Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \xi(g) = \iint (\xi g)(\omega) \frac{g(\omega, s)}{(\xi g)(\omega)} Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds) \leq \iint g'(\omega, s) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds) < \infty.$$

Hence $\mathbb{P}$ is σ -finite. Moreover we have for $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \int f(\theta_e, t) \xi(dt) &= \iiint f(\omega, t) h(\omega, s) \xi(\omega, dt) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds) \\ &= \iiint f(\omega, s) h(\omega, t) \xi(\omega, dt) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.36) to get the second identity. This is just $(\nu \otimes Q)f$ since $\xi(h) = 1$ on $\{\xi \neq 0\}$ by definition of h , and $Q_s(\xi = 0) = 0$ for ν -a.e. $s \in S$.

It remains to show that the measure $\mathbb{P}$ defined in (5.38) is invariant for invariant ν , Q , and ξ . Take $f \in \mathcal{A}_+$ and $g \in G$. By invariance of Q and ν ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} f \circ \theta_g &= \iint f(\theta_g \omega) h(\omega, s) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds) \\ &= \iint f(\omega) h(\theta_g^{-1} \omega, s) Q_{gs}(d\omega) \nu(ds) \\ &= \iint f(\omega) h(\theta_g^{-1} \omega, g^{-1}s) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds).\end{aligned}$$

Since (ν, Q) is a Palm pair of the invariant ξ (w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} f \circ \theta_g &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \int f(\theta_e) h(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}s) \xi(ds) \\ &= \iint f(\omega) h(\theta_g^{-1} \omega, s) \xi(\theta_g^{-1} \omega, ds) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} f,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the last step that $\int h(\theta_g^{-1} \omega, s) \xi(\theta_g^{-1} \omega, ds) = 1$ for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. ω since $\{\xi \neq 0\}$ is invariant and has a complement of $\mathbb{P}$ -measure 0. $\square$

The following main result of this section is a significant extension of Mecke's [19] famous characterization of Palm measures of stationary random measures on an Abelian group. In the transitive special case of Example 3.3 the result has been derived in [22] and [15].

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that ξ and (ν, Q) are invariant. Then (ν, Q) is a Palm pair of ξ with respect to some invariant σ -finite measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ iff $\nu \otimes Q$ is σ -finite, $Q_s(\xi = 0) = 0$ ν -a.e. $s \in S$, and, for any $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}b, \beta(s)) \Delta^*(s) \kappa_{\beta(s),s}(dg) \xi(ds) \nu^*(db) \\ = \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \int f(\theta_e, s, b) \xi(ds) \nu^*(db).\end{aligned}\quad (5.39)$$

Proof. If (ν, Q) is a Palm pair of ξ then σ -finiteness of $\nu \otimes Q$ and $Q_s(\xi = 0) = 0$ for ν -a.e. $s \in S$, have been shown in Proposition 5.1. Equation (5.39) is a special case of (4.33).

Conversely assume the regularity conditions and (5.39). By Proposition 5.1 it is enough to show that this implies (5.36). By means of (2.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\iiint f(\omega, s, t) \xi(\omega, dt) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds) &= \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_s} \int f(\theta_e, s, t) \xi(dt) \mu_b(ds) \nu^*(db) \\ &= \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_{hb}} \int f(\theta_e, hb, t) \xi(dt) \lambda(dh) \nu^*(db) \\ &= \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \int f(\theta_h, hb, ht) \xi(dt) \lambda(dh) \nu^*(db),\end{aligned}$$

where we used invariance of Q and ξ in the last step. Using the stochastic kernel κ this last expression can be written as

$$\int \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \iiint f(\theta_h, hb, hg\beta(t)) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dg) \xi(dt) \lambda(dh) \nu^*(db),$$

and this equals

$$\int \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \iiint f(\theta_{hg^{-1}}, hg^{-1}b, h\beta(t)) \lambda(dh) \Delta(g^{-1}) \kappa_{\beta(t),t}(dg) \xi(dt) \nu^*(db),$$

by Fubini's theorem and a characteristic property of the modular function. Now apply (5.39) to the function $(\omega, s, t) \mapsto \int f(\theta_h \omega, hs, ht) \lambda(dh)$ to write this as

$$\int \mathbb{E}_{Q_b} \iint f(\theta_h, ht, hb) \lambda(dh) \xi(dt) \nu^*(db).$$

By Fubini's theorem and invariance of Q and ξ this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_{hb}} \int f(\theta_e, t, hb) \xi(dt) \lambda(dh) \nu^*(db) \\ = \iint \mathbb{E}_{Q_s} \int f(\theta_e, t, s) \xi(dt) \mu_b(ds) \nu^*(db) \\ = \iiint f(\omega, t, s) \xi(\omega, dt) Q_s(d\omega) \nu(ds), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.18) for the second equality. $\square$

6 The mass-transport principle

In this section we will show that Theorem 4.1 contains a mass-conservation law. Recall our basic properness assumption for the operation of G on S . Let M denote a σ -finite invariant measure on $S \times S$, which is given the interpretation that $M(C \times D)$ represents mass being transported out of C into D . Then the main result of Subsection 6.1 says that on any set B with a symmetry property with respect to the operating group G the mass transported out of B - suitably weighted in the non-unimodular case - equals the total mass transported into B . For a precise formulation of this *mass-transport principle* (short: *MTP*) fix $k > 0$ as in (2.5) and define a measurable function $\tilde{\Delta} : S \times S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$\tilde{\Delta}(s, t) := \frac{\mu_t k}{\mu_{\beta(t)} k} \frac{\mu_{\beta(s)} k}{\mu_s k}. \quad (6.40)$$

Note that $\tilde{\Delta}(s, gs) = \Delta(g^{-1})$, $g \in G$, $s \in S$. A *symmetric set* is a set $B \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying $0 < \mu_b(B) = \mu_{b'}(B) < \infty$, $b, b' \in O$. The following result will be obtained as a special case of Theorem 6.8 in Subsection 6.1.

Theorem 6.1. *For any σ -finite and jointly invariant measure M on $S \times S$ and any symmetric $B \in \mathcal{S}$ we have*

$$\int \tilde{\Delta}(s, t) \mathbf{1}_B(s) M(d(s, t)) = \int \mathbf{1}_B(t) M(d(s, t)).$$

In the transitive case, any set $B \in \mathcal{S}$ with positive and finite invariant measure is symmetric and Theorem 6.1 can be simplified as follows.

Corollary 6.2. *If G operates transitively on S then for any σ -finite invariant measure M on $S \times S$ and any $B \in \mathcal{S}$ we have*

$$\int \tilde{\Delta}(s, t) \mathbf{1}_B(s) M(d(s, t)) = \int \mathbf{1}_B(t) M(d(s, t)),$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}(s, t) = \Delta(g^{-1})$ for any $g \in G$ with $gs = t$.

Up to an integrability issue Theorem 6.1 implies the following stochastic analogue for invariant random measures. In Subsection 6.2 we shall derive this result from the transport formula of Theorem 4.1. A function on $\Omega \times S$ (or other product spaces) is *invariant* if it is invariant under joint shifts of the arguments.

Theorem 6.3. *Let ξ, η be invariant random measures on S , and γ, δ invariant kernels from $\Omega \times S$ to S such that (4.30) holds for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. ω . Then for a symmetric set $B \in \mathcal{S}$ and any invariant $h \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \iint \tilde{\Delta}(s, t) \mathbf{1}_B(s) h(\theta_e, s, t) \gamma(s, dt) \xi(ds) \\ = \mathbb{E} \iint \mathbf{1}_B(t) h(\theta_e, s, t) \delta(t, ds) \eta(dt). \end{aligned} \quad (6.41)$$

6.1 Deterministic transport principle

Imagine there is some mass distributed over the space S and that we transport from each $s \in S$ to each $t \in S$ some mass $m(s, t)$ in an invariant way, i.e. we assume that

$$m(gs, gt) = m(s, t), \quad g \in G.$$

One might guess that the total mass being transported from one orbit in S to some fixed point in S does only depend on the orbit of this fixed point and in fact equals the total mass being transported from any representative of the initial orbit into the whole orbit of our target point.

To make this precise fix some representatives $b, b' \in O$ and consider their corresponding orbital invariant measures $\mu_b, \mu_{b'}$ which are σ -finite by our properness assumption. Recall the definition (2.15) of Δ^* . The calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \int m(b, s) \Delta^*(s) \mu_{b'}(ds) &= \int m(b, gb') \Delta(g^{-1}) \lambda(dg) = \int m(b, g^{-1}b') \lambda(dg) \\ &= \int m(gb, b') \lambda(dg) = \int m(s, b') \mu_b(ds) \end{aligned}$$

yields a basic balance equation between any two orbits:

Corollary 6.4. *Let $m \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ be invariant. Then*

$$\int m(b, s) \Delta^*(s) \mu_{b'}(ds) = \int m(s, b') \mu_b(ds), \quad b, b' \in O. \quad (6.42)$$

Corollary 6.4 is in fact a consequence of (4.32). To see this specialize ξ and η to $\xi := \mu_b$, $\eta := \mu_{b'}$, choose respective supporting measures $\nu_{\mu_b} := \mu_b$ and $\nu_{\mu_{b'}} := \mu_{b'}$, note that $Q_{\xi, b} = \mathbb{P} = Q_{\eta, b}$, $b \in O$, and that $\mu_b^* = \delta_b$, replace $f(\omega, g, s, t) := g(\omega)m(s, t)$ where g is chosen such that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[g] = 1$, factor out the σ -finite and invariant $\mathbb{P}$ on both sides and finally use invariance of m .

Corollary 6.4 leads to the following mass-transport principle on any system O of orbit representatives. Given two invariant measures μ and ν on S we may interpret μ as mass distributed within S while ν on the other hand can be thought of holes where mass can be stored. Consider invariant kernels γ and δ on S , where an application of γ to μ may be thought of resizing and redistributing the mass μ , while an application of δ to ν may be interpreted as stretching or shrinking and relocating the holes ν . Therefore one might call γ and δ *weighted transport kernels*, see [16].

Corollary 6.5. *Let μ, ν be σ -finite invariant measures on S and γ, δ invariant kernels on S satisfying*

$$\iint \mathbf{1}\{(s, t) \in \cdot\} \gamma(s, dt) \mu(ds) = \iint \mathbf{1}\{(s, t) \in \cdot\} \delta(t, ds) \nu(dt). \quad (6.43)$$

Then for any invariant $m \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$

$$\iint m(b, t) \Delta^*(t) \gamma(b, dt) \mu^*(db) = \iint m(s, b) \delta(b, ds) \nu^*(db). \quad (6.44)$$

Proof. Specializing $\xi := \mu$, $\eta := \nu$ in Theorem 4.1 and following similar steps as above yields the result. $\square$

The following version of the above deterministic MTP has been established in [2] in the case of finitely many orbits (see also [17], Chapter 8).

Corollary 6.6. *Let G operate properly on the countable set S . Then we have for invariant $m \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$*

$$\sum_{b, b' \in O} \sum_{s \in Gb'} m(b, s) \lambda(G_{s, s}) = \sum_{b, b' \in O} \sum_{s \in Gb'} \lambda(G_{b', b'}) m(s, b)$$

and if G is unimodular even

$$\sum_{b \in O} \frac{1}{\lambda(G_{b, b})} \sum_{s \in S} m(b, s) = \sum_{b \in O} \frac{1}{\lambda(G_{b, b})} \sum_{s \in S} m(s, b).$$

Proof. Putting $\mu = \nu := \sum_{b \in O} \mu_b$ (hence $\mu^* = \nu^* = \sum_{b \in O} \delta_b$) and $\gamma(s, \cdot) \equiv \delta(t, \cdot) \equiv \sum_{b \in O} \mu_b$ in (6.44) yields after a similar step as in (2.17)

$$\sum_{b, b' \in O} \lambda(G_{b', b'}) \sum_{s \in Gb'} m(b, s) \Delta^*(s) = \sum_{b, b' \in O} \lambda(G_{b', b'}) \sum_{s \in Gb'} m(s, b)$$

for any measurable invariant m . Using (2.16) this simplifies to

$$\sum_{b,b' \in O} \sum_{s \in Gb'} m(b, s) \lambda(G_{s,s}) = \sum_{b,b' \in O} \sum_{s \in Gb'} \lambda(G_{b',b'}) m(s, b).$$

If G is unimodular then $\lambda(G_{b',b'})$ on the right may be replaced by $\lambda(G_{s,s})$ and we may also replace m by the G -invariant function $m(t, s) \frac{1}{\lambda(G_{t,t})\lambda(G_{s,s})}$ which then yields the assertion. $\square$

We seek for an appropriate formulation of Corollary 6.5 without use of a fixed system of representatives of the orbits. For this choose some $v \in \mathcal{S}_+$ with the property that $0 < \mu_s v < \infty$ for each $s \in S$ and define similarly as in (6.40)

$$\Delta_v(s, t) := \frac{\mu_t v}{\mu_s v}, \quad s, t \in G. \quad (6.45)$$

By means of another function $w \in \mathcal{S}_+$ with $0 < \mu_s w < \infty$ for any $s \in S$ being compatible with v in the sense that

$$\frac{\mu_b w}{\mu_b v} = \frac{\mu_{b'} w}{\mu_{b'} v}, \quad b, b' \in O, \quad (6.46)$$

we may, since $\Delta^*(s) = \mu_s(v)/\mu_{\beta(s)}(v)$, express Δ^* as

$$\Delta^*(s) = \Delta^*(s) \frac{\mu_{\beta(s)}(v)}{\mu_{\beta(s)}(w)} \frac{\mu_b(w)}{\mu_b(v)} = \Delta_v(b, s) \frac{\mu_b(w)}{\mu_{\beta(s)}(w)}, \quad b \in O, s \in S, \quad (6.47)$$

where we have used assumption (6.46).

Proposition 6.7. *Let μ, ν be σ -finite invariant measures on S and γ and δ be invariant kernels on S satisfying (6.43). Let $v, w \in \mathcal{S}_+$ be as in (6.46) and $m \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ be invariant. Then*

$$\iint \Delta_v(s, t) w(s) m(s, t) \gamma(s, dt) \mu(ds) = \iint w(t) m(s, t) \delta(t, ds) \nu(ds).$$

Proof. Replacing $\Delta^*(t)$ in (6.44) by the left-hand side of (6.47), we get

$$\iint m(b, t) \Delta_v(b, t) \frac{\mu_b(w)}{\mu_{\beta(t)}(w)} \gamma(b, dt) \mu^*(db) = \iint m(s, b) \delta(b, ds) \nu^*(db).$$

Applying this with m replaced by the invariant function $m(s, t) \mu_{\beta(t)}(w)$ yields

$$\iint m(b, t) \Delta_v(b, t) \mu_b(w) \gamma(b, dt) \mu^*(db) = \iint m(s, b) \mu_b(w) \delta(b, ds) \nu^*(db).$$

Fubini's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \iiint m(b, t) \Delta_v(b, t) w(s) \gamma(b, dt) \mu_b(ds) \mu^*(db) \\ &= \iiint m(s, b) w(t) \delta(b, ds) \mu_b(dt) \nu^*(db). \end{aligned}$$

By invariance of $m\Delta_v$ and invariance of γ the integral $\int m(s, t)\Delta_v(s, t)\gamma(s, dt)$ does not depend on $s \in Gb$ for a fixed $b \in O$. A similar remark applies to the inner integral of the above right-hand side. Applying (2.18) we obtain the asserted identity. $\square$

Using a result of Kallenberg in [12], Proposition 6.7 can be formulated equivalently in a shorter way.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $v, w \in \mathcal{S}_+$ satisfy (6.46). Then for any jointly invariant σ -finite measure M on $S \times S$ we have*

$$\int \Delta_v(s, t)w(s)M(d(s, t)) = \int w(t)M(d(s, t)). \quad (6.48)$$

Proof. According to Kallenberg [12] Corollary 3.6, there exist invariant disintegrations of the form

$$M(d(s, t)) = \gamma(s, dt)\mu(ds), \quad M(d(s, t)) = \delta(t, ds)\nu(dt),$$

where μ, ν are invariant σ -finite measures on S and γ, δ are invariant kernels on S . Then (6.43) holds, and Proposition 6.7 implies the assertion. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.1: Just note that $v(s) := k(s)/\mu_{\beta(s)}k$ and $w := \mathbf{1}_B$ for a symmetric $B \in \mathcal{S}$ is an admissible choice in Theorem 6.8 since

$$\frac{\mu_b v}{\mu_b(B)} = \frac{1}{\mu_b(B)} = \frac{1}{\mu_{b'}(B)} = \frac{\mu_{b'} v}{\mu_{b'}(B)}, \quad b, b' \in O. \quad \square$$

6.2 Transport principle for stationary random measures

In this section we show how Theorem 6.3 follows from the transport formula in Theorem 4.1. To this end we begin with a modification of the refined Campbell theorem for invariant functions.

Lemma 6.9. *Let ξ be an invariant random measure on S and (ν_ξ, Q_ξ) an invariant Palm pair of ξ . Then for any G -invariant $f \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ and any $v \in \mathcal{S}_+$*

$$\int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi, b}}[f(\theta_e, b)]\mu_b(v)\nu_\xi^*(db) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \int f(\theta_e, s)v(s)\xi(ds). \quad (6.49)$$

Proof. The left side equals

$$\mathbb{E} \iint f(\theta_g^{-1}, \beta(s))v(g\beta(s))\kappa_{\beta(s), s}(dg)\xi(ds)$$

by Proposition 3.1. Invariance of f yields the result. $\square$

Applying this modified Campbell formula for invariant functions to the transport formula of Theorem 4.1 yields Theorem 6.3:

Proof of Theorem 6.3. Replacing $f(\omega, g, s, t)$ in (4.31) by $h(\omega, gs, t)$ for $h \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta, b}} \iint h(\theta_g^{-1}, g^{-1}b, \beta(s))\Delta^*(s)\kappa_{\beta(s), s}(dg)\delta(b, ds)\nu_\eta^*(db) \\ &= \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi, b}} \iint h(\theta_e, g\beta(s), b)\kappa_{\beta(s), s}(dg)\gamma(b, ds)\nu_\xi^*(db). \end{aligned}$$

If h is invariant this reduces to

$$\int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta,b}} \int h(\theta_e, b, s) \Delta^*(s) \delta(b, ds) \nu_\eta^*(db) = \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi,b}} \int h(\theta_e, s, b) \gamma(b, ds) \nu_\xi^*(db).$$

Substituting $\Delta^*(s)$ via (6.47) and replacing $h(\omega, t, s)$ by $h(\omega, t, s) \mu_{\beta(s)}(w)$ yields for any invariant h

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\eta,b}} \int h(\theta_e, b, s) \Delta_v(b, s) \mu_b(w) \delta(b, ds) \nu_\eta^*(db) \\ = \int \mathbb{E}_{Q_{\xi,b}} \int h(\theta_e, t, b) \mu_b(w) \gamma(b, dt) \nu_\xi^*(db). \end{aligned}$$

Here $(\omega, t) \mapsto \int h(\omega, t, s) \Delta_v(t, s) \delta(\omega, t, ds)$ and $(\omega, s) \mapsto \int h(\omega, t, s) \gamma(\omega, s, dt)$ are clearly invariant by invariance of the transports γ, δ and the function h . Thus (6.49) may be applied to both sides of the last equation to yield

$$\mathbb{E} \iint h(\theta_e, t, s) \Delta_v(t, s) w(t) \delta(t, ds) \eta(dt) = \mathbb{E} \iint h(\theta_e, t, s) w(s) \gamma(s, dt) \xi(ds).$$

Since $\Delta_v(s, t) = \Delta_v(t, s)^{-1}$ this is clearly equivalent to saying that for any invariant h

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \iint h(\theta_e, t, s) w(t) \delta(t, ds) \eta(dt) \\ = \mathbb{E} \iint h(\theta_e, t, s) \Delta_v(s, t) w(s) \gamma(s, dt) \xi(ds). \end{aligned} \quad (6.50)$$

Choosing v, w as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 yields the result. $\square$

Putting in (6.50) $h(\omega, t, s) = m(\omega, s, t)$ for some invariant $m \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$, $\gamma(\omega, s, dt) := \eta(\omega, dt)$ and $\delta(\omega, t, ds) := \xi(\omega, ds)$ we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6.10. *For $v, w \in \mathcal{S}_+$ satisfying (6.46), invariant random measures ξ, η on S and invariant $m \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S})_+$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \iint \Delta_v(s, t) w(s) m(\theta_e, s, t) \xi(ds) \eta(dt) \\ = \mathbb{E} \iint w(t) m(\theta_e, s, t) \xi(ds) \eta(dt). \end{aligned} \quad (6.51)$$

Note: Some of the main results of this paper were presented in the workshop “New Perspectives in Stochastic Geometry” at the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, October 2008.

Note: While this paper was under review, we became aware of the preprint [13] by Olav Kallenberg, written independently of this one and finished at about the same time. Among many other things the author proves there the existence of a universally measurable choice function under the sole assumption of properness as well as Theorem 5.2.

References

- [1] Baccelli, F. and Brémaud, P., *Elements of Queueing Theory*. Springer, Berlin (2003)
- [2] Benjamini I., Lyons R., Peres Y., Schramm O., Group-invariant percolation on graphs. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9**, 29-66 (1999)
- [3] Benjamini I., Schramm O., Percolation in the hyperbolic plane. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14**, 487-507 (2001)
- [4] Bourbaki N., Topologie générale, p. 1 *Addison-Wesley* (1966)
- [5] Daley, D.J. and Vere-Jones, D., *An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes*. 2nd ed., Springer, New York (2008)
- [6] Dellacherie, C. and Meyer, P.-A., *Probabilités et potentiel*. Hermann, Paris (1975)
- [7] Folland G.B., *Real Analysis*. Wiley Interscience (1999)
- [8] Gentner, D. and Last, G., Some mean value formulae for partially stationary tessellations of manifolds. in preparation (2009)
- [9] Häggström, O., Infinite clusters in dependent automorphism invariant percolation on trees. *Ann. Probab.* **25**, 1423–1436 (1997)
- [10] Kallenberg, O., *Random Measures*. Akademie-Verlag Berlin and Academic Press, London (1983)
- [11] Kallenberg, O., *Foundations of Modern Probability*. Second Edition, Springer, New York (2002)
- [12] Kallenberg, O., Invariant measures and disintegrations with applications to Palm and related kernels. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **139**, 285-310 (2007)
- [13] Kallenberg, O., Invariant disintegrations via skew factorization, with applications to Palm and related kernels. *Preprint* (2009)
- [14] Last, G., Modern random measures: Palm theory and related models. in: *New Perspectives in Stochastic Geometry*, eds. W. Kendall and I. Molchanov, Clarendon Press, Oxford, to appear (2009)
- [15] Last, G., Stationary random measures on homogeneous spaces. To appear in *Journal of Theoretical Probability* (2009)
- [16] Last, G. and Thorisson, H. Invariant transports of stationary random measures and mass-stationarity. *Annals of Probability* **37**, 790-813 (2009)
- [17] Lyons, R. with Peres, Y., Probability on Trees and Networks. Cambridge University Press. In preparation. Current version available at <http://mypage.iu.edu/~rdlyons/> (2008)

- [18] Matthes, K., Kerstan, J. and Mecke, J., *Infinitely Divisible Point Processes*. Wiley, Chichester (1978)
- [19] Mecke, J., Stationäre zufällige Maße auf lokalkompakten Abelschen Gruppen. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **9**, 36–58 (1967)
- [20] Nachbin, L., *The Haar Integral*. D. van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton (1965)
- [21] Neveu, J., Processus ponctuels. École d’Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour VI. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **598**, 249–445, Springer, Berlin (1977)
- [22] Rother, W. and Zähle, M., Palm distributions in homogeneous spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **149**, 255–263 (1990)
- [23] Schneider, R. and Weil, W., *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*. Springer, Berlin (2008)
- [24] Tortrat, A., Sur les mesures alatoires dans les groupes non abéliens. *Annales de l’institut Henri Poincaré (B)* **5**, 31–47 (1969)